

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

**ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2575**

Chapter 307, Laws of 2006

(partial veto)

59th Legislature  
2006 Regular Session

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY CLINICAL COMMITTEE

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/7/06

Passed by the House March 6, 2006  
Yeas 97 Nays 1

FRANK CHOPP

**Speaker of the House of Representatives**

Passed by the Senate March 3, 2006  
Yeas 48 Nays 0

BRAD OWEN

**President of the Senate**

Approved March 29, 2006, with the  
exception of section 6, which is vetoed.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

**Governor of the State of Washington**

CERTIFICATE

I, Richard Nafziger, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2575** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

RICHARD NAFZIGER

**Chief Clerk**

FILED

March 29, 2006 - 3:59 p.m.

**Secretary of State  
State of Washington**

---

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2575

---

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2006 Regular Session

**State of Washington**                      **59th Legislature**                      **2006 Regular Session**

**By** House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Cody, Morrell and Moeller; by request of Governor Gregoire)

READ FIRST TIME 02/07/06.

1            AN ACT Relating to establishing a state health technology  
2 assessment program; amending RCW 41.05.013; adding new sections to  
3 chapter 70.14 RCW; and creating new sections.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5            NEW SECTION.    **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
6 to read as follows:

7            DEFINITIONS. The definitions in this section apply throughout  
8 sections 2 through 7 of this act unless the context clearly requires  
9 otherwise.

10            (1) "Administrator" means the administrator of the Washington state  
11 health care authority under chapter 41.05 RCW.

12            (2) "Advisory group" means a group established under section  
13 4(2)(c) of this act.

14            (3) "Committee" means the health technology clinical committee  
15 established under section 2 of this act.

16            (4) "Coverage determination" means a determination of the  
17 circumstances, if any, under which a health technology will be included  
18 as a covered benefit in a state purchased health care program.

1 (5) "Health technology" means medical and surgical devices and  
2 procedures, medical equipment, and diagnostic tests. Health  
3 technologies does not include prescription drugs governed by RCW  
4 70.14.050.

5 (6) "Participating agency" means the department of social and  
6 health services, the state health care authority, and the department of  
7 labor and industries.

8 (7) "Reimbursement determination" means a determination to provide  
9 or deny reimbursement for a health technology included as a covered  
10 benefit in a specific circumstance for an individual patient who is  
11 eligible to receive health care services from the state purchased  
12 health care program making the determination.

13 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
14 to read as follows:

15 HEALTH TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE ESTABLISHED. (1) A health technology  
16 clinical committee is established, to include the following eleven  
17 members appointed by the administrator in consultation with  
18 participating state agencies:

19 (a) Six practicing physicians licensed under chapter 18.57 or 18.71  
20 RCW; and

21 (b) Five other practicing licensed health professionals who use  
22 health technology in their scope of practice.

23 At least two members of the committee must have professional  
24 experience treating women, children, elderly persons, and people with  
25 diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds.

26 (2) Members of the committee:

27 (a) Shall not contract with or be employed by a health technology  
28 manufacturer or a participating agency during their term or for  
29 eighteen months before their appointment. As a condition of  
30 appointment, each person shall agree to the terms and conditions  
31 imposed by the administrator regarding conflicts of interest;

32 (b) Are immune from civil liability for any official acts performed  
33 in good faith as members of the committee; and

34 (c) Shall be compensated for participation in the work of the  
35 committee in accordance with a personal services contract to be  
36 executed after appointment and before commencement of activities  
37 related to the work of the committee.

1 (3) Meetings of the committee and any advisory group are subject to  
2 chapter 42.30 RCW, the open public meetings act, including RCW  
3 42.30.110(1)(1), which authorizes an executive session during a regular  
4 or special meeting to consider proprietary or confidential nonpublished  
5 information.

6 (4) Neither the committee nor any advisory group is an agency for  
7 purposes of chapter 34.05 RCW.

8 (5) The health care authority shall provide administrative support  
9 to the committee and any advisory group, and may adopt rules governing  
10 their operation.

11 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
12 to read as follows:

13 TECHNOLOGY SELECTION AND ASSESSMENT. (1) The administrator, in  
14 consultation with participating agencies and the committee, shall  
15 select the health technologies to be reviewed by the committee under  
16 section 4 of this act. Up to six may be selected for review in the  
17 first year after the effective date of this act, and up to eight may be  
18 selected in the second year after the effective date of this act. In  
19 making the selection, priority shall be given to any technology for  
20 which:

21 (a) There are concerns about its safety, efficacy, or cost-  
22 effectiveness, especially relative to existing alternatives, or  
23 significant variations in its use;

24 (b) Actual or expected state expenditures are high, due to demand  
25 for the technology, its cost, or both; and

26 (c) There is adequate evidence available to conduct the complete  
27 review.

28 (2) A health technology for which the committee has made a  
29 determination under section 4 of this act shall be considered for  
30 rereview at least once every eighteen months, beginning the date the  
31 determination is made. The administrator, in consultation with  
32 participating agencies and the committee, shall select the technology  
33 for rereview if he or she decides that evidence has since become  
34 available that could change a previous determination. Upon rereview,  
35 consideration shall be given only to evidence made available since the  
36 previous determination.

1 (3) Pursuant to a petition submitted by an interested party, the  
2 health technology clinical committee may select health technologies for  
3 review that have not otherwise been selected by the administrator under  
4 subsection (1) or (2) of this section.

5 (4) Upon the selection of a health technology for review, the  
6 administrator shall contract for a systematic evidence-based assessment  
7 of the technology's safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness. The  
8 contract shall:

9 (a) Be with an evidence-based practice center designated as such by  
10 the federal agency for health care research and quality, or other  
11 appropriate entity;

12 (b) Require the assessment be initiated no sooner than thirty days  
13 after notice of the selection of the health technology for review is  
14 posted on the internet under section 7 of this act;

15 (c) Require, in addition to other information considered as part of  
16 the assessment, consideration of: (i) Safety, health outcome, and cost  
17 data submitted by a participating agency; and (ii) evidence submitted  
18 by any interested party; and

19 (d) Require the assessment to: (i) Give the greatest weight to the  
20 evidence determined, based on objective indicators, to be the most  
21 valid and reliable, considering the nature and source of the evidence,  
22 the empirical characteristic of the studies or trials upon which the  
23 evidence is based, and the consistency of the outcome with comparable  
24 studies; and (ii) take into account any unique impacts of the  
25 technology on specific populations based upon factors such as sex, age,  
26 ethnicity, race, or disability.

27 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
28 to read as follows:

29 HEALTH TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE DETERMINATIONS. (1) The committee  
30 shall determine, for each health technology selected for review under  
31 section 3 of this act: (a) The conditions, if any, under which the  
32 health technology will be included as a covered benefit in health care  
33 programs of participating agencies; and (b) if covered, the criteria  
34 which the participating agency administering the program must use to  
35 decide whether the technology is medically necessary, or proper and  
36 necessary treatment.

1 (2) In making a determination under subsection (1) of this section,  
2 the committee:

3 (a) Shall consider, in an open and transparent process, evidence  
4 regarding the safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of the  
5 technology as set forth in the systematic assessment conducted under  
6 section 3(4) of this act;

7 (b) Shall provide an opportunity for public comment; and

8 (c) May establish ad hoc temporary advisory groups if specialized  
9 expertise is needed to review a particular health technology or group  
10 of health technologies, or to seek input from enrollees or clients of  
11 state purchased health care programs. Advisory group members are  
12 immune from civil liability for any official act performed in good  
13 faith as a member of the group. As a condition of appointment, each  
14 person shall agree to the terms and conditions imposed by the  
15 administrator regarding conflicts of interest.

16 (3) Determinations of the committee under subsection (1) of this  
17 section shall be consistent with decisions made under the federal  
18 medicare program and in expert treatment guidelines, including those  
19 from specialty physician organizations and patient advocacy  
20 organizations, unless the committee concludes, based on its review of  
21 the systematic assessment, that substantial evidence regarding the  
22 safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of the technology supports a  
23 contrary determination.

24 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 5.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
25 to read as follows:

26 COMPLIANCE BY STATE AGENCIES. (1) A participating agency shall  
27 comply with a determination of the committee under section 4 of this  
28 act unless:

29 (a) The determination conflicts with an applicable federal statute  
30 or regulation, or applicable state statute; or

31 (b) Reimbursement is provided under an agency policy regarding  
32 experimental or investigational treatment, services under a clinical  
33 investigation approved by an institutional review board, or health  
34 technologies that have a humanitarian device exemption from the federal  
35 food and drug administration.

36 (2) For a health technology not selected for review under section  
37 3 of this act, a participating agency may use its existing statutory

1 and administrative authority to make coverage and reimbursement  
2 determinations. Such determinations shall be shared among agencies,  
3 with a goal of maximizing each agency's understanding of the basis for  
4 the other's decisions and providing opportunities for agency  
5 collaboration.

6 (3) A health technology not included as a covered benefit under a  
7 state purchased health care program pursuant to a determination of the  
8 health technology clinical committee under section 4 of this act, or  
9 for which a condition of coverage established by the committee is not  
10 met, shall not be subject to a determination in the case of an  
11 individual patient as to whether it is medically necessary, or proper  
12 and necessary treatment.

13 (4) Nothing in this act diminishes an individual's right under  
14 existing law to appeal an action or decision of a participating agency  
15 regarding a state purchased health care program. Appeals shall be  
16 governed by state and federal law applicable to participating agency  
17 decisions.

18 ***\*NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
19 to read as follows:***

20 ***APPEAL PROCESS. The administrator shall establish an open,  
21 independent, transparent, and timely process to enable patients,  
22 providers, and other stakeholders to appeal the determinations of the  
23 health technology clinical committee made under section 4 of this act.  
\*Sec. 6 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.***

24 ***NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
25 to read as follows:***

26 PUBLIC NOTICE. (1) The administrator shall develop a centralized,  
27 internet-based communication tool that provides, at a minimum:

28 (a) Notification when a health technology is selected for review  
29 under section 3 of this act, indicating when the review will be  
30 initiated and how an interested party may submit evidence, or provide  
31 public comment, for consideration during the review;

32 (b) Notification of any determination made by the committee under  
33 section 4(1) of this act, its effective date, and an explanation of the  
34 basis for the determination; and

35 (c) Access to the systematic assessment completed under section

1 3(4) of this act, and reports completed under subsection (2) of this  
2 section.

3 (2) Participating agencies shall develop methods to report on the  
4 implementation of this section and sections 1 through 6 of this act  
5 with respect to health care outcomes, frequency of exceptions, cost  
6 outcomes, and other matters deemed appropriate by the administrator.

7 **Sec. 8.** RCW 41.05.013 and 2005 c 462 s 3 are each amended to read  
8 as follows:

9 (1) The authority shall coordinate state agency efforts to develop  
10 and implement uniform policies across state purchased health care  
11 programs that will ensure prudent, cost-effective health services  
12 purchasing, maximize efficiencies in administration of state purchased  
13 health care programs, improve the quality of care provided through  
14 state purchased health care programs, and reduce administrative burdens  
15 on health care providers participating in state purchased health care  
16 programs. The policies adopted should be based, to the extent  
17 possible, upon the best available scientific and medical evidence and  
18 shall endeavor to address:

19 (a) Methods of formal assessment, such as a health technology  
20 assessment under sections 1 through 7 of this act. Consideration of  
21 the best available scientific evidence does not preclude consideration  
22 of experimental or investigational treatment or services under a  
23 clinical investigation approved by an institutional review board;

24 (b) Monitoring of health outcomes, adverse events, quality, and  
25 cost-effectiveness of health services;

26 (c) Development of a common definition of medical necessity; and

27 (d) Exploration of common strategies for disease management and  
28 demand management programs, including asthma, diabetes, heart disease,  
29 and similar common chronic diseases. Strategies to be explored include  
30 individual asthma management plans. On January 1, 2007, and January 1,  
31 2009, the authority shall issue a status report to the legislature  
32 summarizing any results it attains in exploring and coordinating  
33 strategies for asthma, diabetes, heart disease, and other chronic  
34 diseases.

35 (2) The administrator may invite health care provider  
36 organizations, carriers, other health care purchasers, and consumers to  
37 participate in efforts undertaken under this section.



1 (3) For the purposes of this section "best available scientific and  
2 medical evidence" means the best available clinical evidence derived  
3 from systematic research.

4 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 9.** A new section is added to chapter 70.14 RCW  
5 to read as follows:

6 Sections 1 through 7 of this act and RCW 41.05.013 do not apply to  
7 state purchased health care services that are purchased from or through  
8 health carriers as defined in RCW 48.43.005.

9 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 10.** Captions used in this act are not any part  
10 of the law.

11 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 11.** If any part of this act is found to be in  
12 conflict with federal requirements that are a prescribed condition to  
13 the allocation of federal funds to the state, the conflicting part of  
14 this act is inoperative solely to the extent of the conflict and with  
15 respect to the agencies directly affected, and this finding does not  
16 affect the operation of the remainder of this act in its application to  
17 the agencies concerned. Rules adopted under this act must meet federal  
18 requirements that are a necessary condition to the receipt of federal  
19 funds by the state.

Passed by the House March 6, 2006.  
Passed by the Senate March 3, 2006.  
Approved by the Governor March 29, 2006, with the exception of  
certain items that were vetoed.  
Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 29, 2006.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"I am returning, without my approval as to Section 6, Engrossed  
Second Substitute House Bill No. 2575 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to establishing a state health technology  
assessment program."

I strongly support ESSHB No. 2575 and particularly its inclusion  
of language that protects an individual's right to appeal. Section 5  
(4) of the bill states that "nothing in this act diminishes an  
individual's right under existing law to appeal an action or decision  
of a participating agency regarding a state purchased health care  
program. Appeals shall be governed by state and federal law  
applicable to participating agency decisions." This is an important  
provision and one that I support whole-heartedly.

I am, however, vetoing Section 6 of this bill, which establishes  
an additional appeals process for patients, providers, and other  
stakeholders who disagree with the coverage determinations of the  
Health Technology Clinical Committee. The health care provider  
expertise on the clinical committee and the use of an evidence-based  
practice center should lend sufficient confidence in the quality of

decisions made. Where issues may arise, I believe the individual appeal process highlighted above is sufficient to address them, without creating a duplicative and more costly process.

In the implementation of this bill, I expect the Health Care Authority, with the cooperation of participating agencies, to facilitate a timely and transparent process, to prioritize and manage the review of technologies within appropriated funds, and to meaningfully consider stakeholder feedback regarding the program and appeals processes. I further expect that the implementation of the Health Technology Assessment Program will be consistent with sound methods of assessment and the principles of evidence-based medicine.

I appreciate the Legislature's passage of this bill and have full confidence that it will help ensure that Washingtonians receive health care services that are safe and effective.

For these reasons, I have vetoed Section 6 of ESSHB No. 2575.

With the exception of Section 6, ESSHB No. 2575 is approved."